

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/749,303		12/27/2000	Jeffrey Chan	243768021US	243768021US 5430	
30024	7590	09/07/2006		EXAMINER		
		RHYE P.C. ROAD, 11TH FLOO	ıR	STEVENS, THOMAS H		
ARLINGTO		•	R.	ART UNIT .	UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2123	,	

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>			
		09/749,303	CHAN ET AL.				
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
		Thomas H. Stevens	2123				
	this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	•			
Period for Reply							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, I  - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin  - If NO period for reply is specified abov  - Failure to reply within the set or extension	FROM THE MAILING D nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 g date of this communication. ve, the maximum statutory period ded period for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailin	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (See Page 2) A 18 MONT ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (See Page 2) A 18 MONTHS from the communication of the	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communicat NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 29 A	<u>ugust 2006</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application i							
closed in accordance v	with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13,15-2</u>	25.27-32 and 34-41 is/ar	e pending in the application.					
	(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13,15-2</u>	25,27-32 and 34-41 is/a	e rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are	=						
8) Claim(s) are su	bject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is obj	ected to by the Examine	er.					
		cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance.					
		tion is required if the drawing(s) is					
11)☐ The oath or declaration	is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is ma a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c)		n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies	of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
		ts have been received in Applic					
		ority documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage				
·	the International Burea		ived				
" See the attached detaile	ed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment(s)	902)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	any (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO</li> <li>Datice of Draftsperson's Patent D</li> </ol>		Paper No(s)/Ma	l Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2123

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-6, 8-13,15-25,27-32, and 34-41 were examined.

#### Allowance Withdrawn/ Prosecution Reopened

2. The Examiner indicated of allowable subject matter to applicants on 7/3/06. Unfortunately, however, a revamped search has brought to light prior new art. Finality of the previous office action is withdrawn.

#### Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 5, 13 and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Claim 5, line 2, the phrase "the turbine" might cause an antecedent problem.
  - Claim 13, line 2, the phrase the performance characteristic; the Office suggest "the current performance characteristic"
  - Claims 18 and 37, the phase "the Internet" might cause an antecedent problem;
     the Office suggests amending the claim to state simply "Internet".

#### Specification Objections

4. Specification, pg. 13, line 13, element 1209 is missing.

Art Unit: 2123

# Claim Interpretation

5. Office personnel are to give claims their "broadest reasonable interpretation" in light of the supporting disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-551(CCPA 1969). See \*also In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319, 321-22, 13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322(Fed. Cir. 1989) ("During patent examination the pending claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow") .... The reason is simply that during patent prosecution when claims can be amended, ambiguities should be recognized, scope and breadth of language explored, and clarification imposed .... An essential purpose of patent examination is to fashion claims that are precise, clear, correct, and unambiguous. Only in this way can uncertainties of claim scope be removed, as much as possible, during the administrative process. The Office defines the turbine as the following definition (www.dictionary.com): any of various machines having a rotor, usually with vanes or blades, driven by the pressure, momentum, or reactive thrust of a moving fluid, as steam, water, hot gases, or air, either occurring in the form of free jets or as a fluid passing through and entirely filling a housing around the rotor.

Art Unit: 2123

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicants are advised of the

Application/Control Number: 09/749,303

Art Unit: 2123

obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventors and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11-13, 15-18, 20-25,27-29,31-32, 34-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juniper "Pilot-Scale Evaluation of Australian Thermal Coal for Combustion and Gasification" 1998, in view of by Reed et al. ("Developing Interactive Education Engineering Software for the World Wide Web with Java" ACM, 1998; hereafter Reed) and in further view of Barker et al., (US Patent 6,314,422; hereafter Barker).

Juniper teaches a pilot-scale facilities that are in operation for thermal coal evaluation to evaluate combustion (pg. 42, left column, last paragraph), including simulation of a turbine gas simulator; but fails to teach specified performance criteria with Internet/Web access nor machine identification. Reed et al. teaches a gas installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") simulation system which utilizes the Java language environment software across the Internet (abstract). Barker teaches method of accessing machine based client information (column 4, lines 14-16) via a network (column 3, lines 5-13).

Juniper, Read Barker and are analogous art since they teach rotor-based machines.

Art Unit: 2123

Therefore at the time of invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the multi-fidelity simulation of Reed and the client information computer network of Barker in the pilot scale simulation of Juniper because Reed teaches advantageous to remove incompatibilities between computer systems, resulting in an "explosion of accessibility" (Reed: pg. 1, Introduction section, lines 2-3). Barker provides a graphical user interface with extensions, including a tab metaphor, context sensitive switching between tabs and dynamic softlinking between documents in a tab, which improves navigation and usability in the system (Barker: column 1, lines 56-60).

Claim 1: A method in a computer system for determining performance of a installed turbine power generating (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: abstract), the method comprising: receiving from an operator of the installed turbine manufacture identification (claim interpretation coupled with Barker: column 6, lines 1-5) information relating to the installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: pg. 3, left column, paragraph 1) to be analyzed; retrieving configuration information for the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator"); determining current performance characteristics of the installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Kita: figure 1 and figure 24 (block 32)) based on the retrieved configuration information; sending to the

Application/Control Number: 09/749,303

Art Unit: 2123

operator a display page for displaying the determined current performance characteristics (Reed: pg.6, right column, paragraph 4; and pg.7 left column, first paragraph); receiving from the operator an indication of a modification to the configuration of to the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator"); determining future performance characteristics of the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") based on the indicated modification to its configuration; and sending to the operator a display page for displaying the determined future to performance characteristics (Reed: pg. 4, "Conduction the Simulation" section, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, lines 6-7 with figure 1).

Claim 2: The method of claim I wherein the determining of the current performance characteristics includes simulating the current performance characteristics based on various readings collected from the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: pg. 3, left column, Analysis Mathematical Model, lines 18-21).

Claim 3: The method of claim 2 wherein the simulating of the current performance characteristics includes estimating fuel (Reed: pg. 4, figure 1, GUI Hierarchy, Control Volume Dialog-Fuel Source Dialog) flow by repeatedly

simulating the current performance characteristics with a varying fuel flow until a desired combustor efficiency is achieved (Reed: pg. 7, left column, second paragraph).

Claim 4: The method of claim 1 wherein the determining of the current performance characteristics includes adjusting initial performance characteristics based on length of time the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") has been in operation (Reed: pg.7, left column, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3rd paragraphs).

Claim 5: The method of claim 1 wherein the determining of the current performance characteristics includes measuring the performance characteristics of the installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: pg.7, left column, 2<sup>nd</sup>).

Claim 6: The method of claim 1wherein the display page includes an indication of average performance characteristics for other installed turbines (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: pg. 8, figure 7).

Claim 8: The method of claim 1 wherein the display page includes a graph illustrating performance characteristics (Reed: pg. 7, figure 7).

Art Unit: 2123

Claim 9: The method of claim 8 wherein the graph includes a background with colors that transition from a shade of red to a shade of yellow to a shade of green (Reed: pg. 5, right column, Engine Schematic Layout Window, lines 6-7).

Claim 11: A method in a computer system for determining performance of a installed turbine power generating (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: abstract), the installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") having a configuration, the method comprising: receiving from an operator of the installed turbine manufacture identification (claim interpretation coupled with Barker: column 6, lines 1-5) information relating to the installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") to be analyzed; simulating a current performance characteristic based on various readings collected from an identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator"); receiving from a operator of the installed turbine an indication of a modification to the configuration of the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator"); determining a future performance characteristic of the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") based on the indicated modifications to its configuration; and sending to the operator a display page for displaying the

determined future to performance characteristic (Reed: pg. 3, Java Gas Installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator" Simulation Software and Analysis Mathematical Model).

Claim 12: The method of claim 11 wherein the simulating of the current performance characteristic includes estimating fuel flow by repeatedly simulating the current (Reed: pg. 4, figure 1, GUI Hierarchy, Control Volume Dialog-Fuel Source Dialog) performance characteristic with a varying fuel flow until a desired combustor efficiency (Reed: pg. 4, GrControl Volume Icon, Combustor Icon) is achieved.

Claim 13: The method of claim 11 wherein the display page includes an indication of an average for the performance characteristic for other installed turbines (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator").

Claim 15: The method of claim 11 wherein the display page includes a graph illustrating performance characteristics (Reed: pg. 8, figure 7).

Claim 16: The method of claim 15 wherein the graph includes a background with colors that transition from a shade of red to a shade of yellow to a shade of green (Reed: pg. 5, right column, Engine Schematic Layout Window, lines 6-7).

Claim 17: The method of claim 11 wherein the display page is a web page (Reed: abstract).

Claim 18: The method of claim 11 wherein the display page is sent via the Internet (Reed: abstract).

Claim 20: A method in a computer system for displaying a performance characteristic of a installed turbine power generating (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator"), the method comprising: sending an identification (claim interpretation coupled with Barker: column 6, lines 1-5) of a installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") to a manufacture of the turbine; and receiving a display page indicating a performance characteristic of the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") relative to the said performance characteristic for other installed turbines power generating (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: abstract).

Claim 21: The method of claim 20 including sending an indication of a modification (Reed: pg.7, right column, Transcript Window, lines 1-4; and figure 6) to the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with

pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator"); and receiving a display page indicating the performance characteristic of the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") with the indicated modification.

Claim 22: The method of claim 20 wherein the display page includes financial Information elating to possible modifications to the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: pg.7, right column, Transcript Window, lines 1-4; and figure 6).

Claim 23: The method of claim 20 wherein the performance characteristic of the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") is displayed as a graph (Reed: pg. 7, figure 7).

Claim 24: The method of claim 23 wherein the graph indicates the performance characteristic for other installed turbines (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: pg. 7, figure 7).

Claim 25: The method of claim 24 wherein the graph includes an indication of an average performance characteristic for other installed turbines (Juniper, pg. 46,

Art Unit: 2123

"Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: pg. 7, figure 7).

Claim 27: The method of claim 23 wherein the graph includes a background with colors that transition from a shade of red to a shade of yellow to a shade of green (Reed: pg. 5, right column, Engine Schematic Layout Window, lines 6-7).

Claim 28: A computer-readable medium containing instructions for controlling a computer system to determine a performance characteristic of a installed power generating turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator"), the installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") having a configuration, by a method comprising: receiving from an operator of the installed turbine manufacture identification information (claim interpretation coupled with Barker: column 6, lines 1-5) relating to the installed turbine to be analyzed; simulating a current performance characteristic based on various readings collected from an identified installed turbine power generating (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: abstract); receiving an indication of a modification to the configuration of the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: pg.6, right column, paragraph 4); and determine a future performance characteristic, if the identified installed

turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") based on the indicated modifications to its configuration.

Claim 29: The computer-readable medium of claim 28 wherein the simulating of the current performance characteristic includes estimating fuel flow by repeatedly simulator (Reed: pg. 4, figure 1, GUI Hierarchy, Control Volume Dialog-Fuel Source Dialog) the current performance characteristic by varying fuel flow until a desired combustor efficiency is achieved.

Claim 31: The computer-readable medium of claim 28 including sending a display page for displaying the determined future performance characteristic (Reed: pg. 7, figure 7).

Claim 32: The computer-readable medium of claim 31 wherein the display page includes an indication of an average for the performance characteristic for other installed turbines (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: pg. 7, figure 7).

Claim 34: The computer-readable medium of claim 31 wherein the display pages includes a graph illustrating the performance characteristics (Reed: pg. 7, figure 7).

Art Unit: 2123

Claim 35: The computer-readable medium of claim 34 wherein the graph includes a background with colors that transition from a shade of red to a shade of yellow to a shade of green (Reed: pg. 5, right column, Engine Schematic Layout Window, lines 6-7).

Claim 36: The computer-readable medium of claim 31 wherein the display page is a web page (Reed: abstract).

Claim 37: The computer-readable medium of claim 31 wherein the display page is sent via the Internet (Reed: abstract).

Claim 38: A computer system for determining a performance characteristic of a Installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator"), the installed turbine power generating (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") having a configuration, comprising (Reed: abstract): means for receiving manufacturer identification information(claim interpretation coupled with Barker: column 6, lines 1-5) and an indication of a modification to the configuration of an identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: pg.6, right column, paragraph 4); and means for determining a future performance characteristic of the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal

fired gas turbine simulator") based on the indicated modifications to its configuration.

Claim 39: The computer system of claim 38 including: means for simulating a current performance characteristic based on various readings collected from the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") (Reed: pg. 7, left column, Graphing Windows, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph).

Claim 40: The computer system of claim 39 wherein the means for simulating the current performance characteristic includes means for estimating fuel flow by repeatedly simulating (Reed: pg. 4, figure 1, GUI Hierarchy, Control Volume Dialog-Fuel Source Dialog) the current performance characteristic by varying fuel flow until a desired combustor (Reed: pg. 4, GUI Hierarchy, GrControl Volume Icon, Combustor Icon) efficiency is achieved.

9. Claims 10,19,30 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juniper as modified by Reed and Barker as applied to claims 1,11, 28 and 38 above, and further in view of Kita et al., (U.S. Patent 5,886,895 (1999)).

Juniper as modified by Reed and Barker teaches most of the instant application except the installed turbine.

Kita et al. teaches calculating optimum operation parameters of a boiler-installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator")-generator (BTG), while taking into account cost (abstract and figure 1 (blocks 71-73)). All four pieces of art are analogous since they all teach mechanical devices.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to utilize the boiler simulation of Kita in the turbine simulation models of Juniper as modified by Reed and Barker because Kita teaches a method to for calculating optimum operation parameters of a power generation plant including a plurality of boilers, a plurality of turbines operated by steams generated from the boilers and generators driven by the respective turbines to generate electric power in which the electric power is supplied to electric power loads while the steams generated from the respective turbines are supplied to steam loads via a plurality of turbine discharge systems (Kita: column 4, 37-46).

Claim 10: The method of claim 1 including receiving financial information (Kika: figure 1, (blocks 71,76)) relating to operation of the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") and estimating revenue generated from the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") with the indicated modification (Reed: pg.6, right column, paragraph 4; and pg.7 left column, first paragraph).

Application/Control Number: 09/749,303

Art Unit: 2123

Claim 19: The method of claim 11 including receiving financial information (Kika: figure 1, (blocks 71,76)) relating to operation of the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") and estimating revenue generated from the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") with the indicated modification (Reed: pg.6, right column, paragraph 4; and pg.7 left column, first paragraph).

Page 18

Claim 30: The computer-readable medium of claim 28 including receiving financial information (Kika: figure 1, (blocks 71,76)) relating to operation of the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") and estimating revenue generated from the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") with the indicated modification (Reed: pg.6, right column, paragraph 4; and pg.7 left column, first paragraph).

Claim 41: The computer system of claim 38 including means for receiving financial information (Kika: figure 1, (blocks 71,76)) relating to operation of the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") and means for estimating revenue generated from the identified installed turbine (Juniper, pg. 46, "Gas Turbine Simulator" with pg. 45, "HRL coal fired gas turbine simulator") with the indicated

Art Unit: 2123

modification (Reed: pg.6, right column, paragraph 4; and pg.7 left column, first

paragraph).

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Tom Stevens whose telephone number is 571-272-3715, Monday-Friday (8:00 am- 4:30 pm EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact examiner's supervisor Mr. Paul Rodriguez 571-272-3753. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.. Answers to questions regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) (toll-free (866-217-9197)).

August 22, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

TS